

July 2023 Update to the Texas Water Quality Management Plan

Prepared by Water Quality Division, Office of Water

TCEQ SFR-121/2023-04 September 2023

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • PO BOX 13087 • AUSTIN, TX 78711-3087

Prepared by the Office of Water Water Quality Division

WQMP updates are available on the TCEQ webpage: www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/wqmp/WQmanagement_updates.html

Developed in accordance with Sections 205(j), 208, and 303 of the Clean Water Act and applicable regulations thereto.

Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|--|-----------|
| Projected Effluent Limit Updates | |
| Planning Information Summary | _ |
| Designated Management Agencies | |
| Total Maximum Daily Load Revisions | |
| Total Maximum Daily Load Revisions | 13 |
| | |
| Appendixes | |
| Appendix I. Updates to Eighteen TMDLs for Bacteria in Buffalo and Whiteoak Bayous an Tributaries | 14 |
| Appendix II. Updates to Nine TMDLs for Bacteria in Clear Creek and Tributaries | 16 |
| Appendix III. Updates to Fifteen TMDLs for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream Houston | |
| Appendix IV. Updates to Two TMDLs for Indicator Bacteria in the Tidal Segments of the | |
| Mission and Aransas Rivers | |
| Appendix V. Update to Three TMDLs for Chloride, Sulfate, and Total Dissolved Solids in | |
| Petronila Creek Above Tidal | 25 |
| | |
| Tables | |
| Table 1. Projected Effluent Limit Updates | 4 |
| Table 2. Service Area Population Updates | |
| Table 3. Designated Management Agencies | |
| Table I-1 - Change to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed | |
| Table I-2 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the TMDL watershed | |
| Table I-3 - TMDL final calculations | |
| Table II-1 - Changes to individual WLAs within the TMDL watershed | |
| Table II-2 - E. coli and Fecal Coliform TMDL Calculations for Freshwater Segments | |
| Table II-3 - TMDL Allocation Table | |
| Table III-1 - Changes to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed | |
| Table III-2 - TMDL summary calculations for 10 AUs in the TMDL watershed | |
| Table III-3 - TMDL final calculations Table III-4 - Changes to individual WLAs in the Peach Creek watershed | |
| Table III-5 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the Peach Creek watershed | |
| Table III-6 – TMDL suddendum final calculations | |
| Table III-7 - Changes to individual WLAs in the Caney Creek watershed | |
| Table III-8 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the Caney Creek watershed | |
| Table IV-1 - Changes to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed | |
| Table IV-1 - Changes to individual WLAS for the TMDL watershed | ······ 44 |
| Table V-1 - Changes to individual WLAs for the Petronila Creek Above Tidal watershed | |
| Table V-2 – TMDL final calculations | |
| | 20 |

Introduction

The Texas Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) is the product of a wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) planning process developed and updated in accordance with provisions of Sections 205(j), 208, and 303 of the federal Clean Water Act, as amended. The WQMP is an important part of the State's program for accomplishing its clean water goals.¹

The Texas Department of Water Resources, a predecessor agency of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), prepared the initial WQMP for waste treatment management during the late 1970s. The Clean Water Act mandates that the WQMP be updated as needed to fill information gaps and revise earlier certified and approved plans. Any updates to the plan need involve only the elements of the plan that require modification. The original plan and its subsequent updates are collectively referred to as the "State of Texas Water Quality Management Plan."

The WQMP is tied to the State's water quality assessments that identify priority water quality problems. WQMPs are used to direct planning for implementation measures that control and/or prevent water quality problems. Several elements may be contained in the WQMP, such as effluent limitations of wastewater facilities, total maximum daily loads (TMDLs), nonpoint source management controls, identification of designated management agencies, and groundwater and source-water protection planning. Some of these elements may be contained in separate documents, which are prepared independently of the current WQMP update process, but may be referenced as needed to address planning for water quality control measures.

This document, as with previous updates², will become part of the WQMP after completion of the public comment period, certification by TCEQ, and approval by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The materials presented in this document revise only the information specifically addressed in the following sections. Previously certified and approved WQMPs remain in effect.

¹ See the formal definition of a water quality management plan in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 130.2(k).

 $^{^2 \, \}text{Fiscal Years } 1974, 1975, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984/85, 1986/88, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993/94, 1995, 1996, 1997/98, 02/1999, 05/1999, 07/1999, 10/1999, 01/2000, 04/2000, 07/2000, 10/2000, 01/2001, 04/2001, 07/2001, 10/2001, 01/2002, 04/2002, 07/2002, 10/2002, 01/2003, 04/2003, 07/2003, 10/2003, 01/2004, 04/2004, 07/2004, 10/2004, 01/2005, 04/2005, 07/2005, 10/2005, 01/2006, 04/2006, 07/2006, 10/2006, 01/2007, 04/2007, 07/2007, 10/2007, 01/2008, 04/2008, 07/2008, 10/2008, 01/2009, 04/2009, 07/2009, 10/2009, 01/2010, 04/2010, 07/2010, 10/2010, 01/2011, 04/2011, 07/2011, 10/2011, BPUB 2011, 01/2012, 04/2012, 07/2012, 10/2012, 01/2013, 04/2013, 07/2013, 10/2013, 01/2014, 04/2014, 07/2014, 10/2014, 01/2015, 04/2015, 07/2015, 10/2015, 01/2016, 04/2016, 07/2016, 10/2016, 01/2017, 04/2017, 07/2017, 10/2017, 01/2018, 04/2018, 07/2018, 10/2018, 01/2019, Terra Verde 2019, 04/2019, 07/2019, 10/2029, 01/2020, 04/2020, 07/2020, 10/2021, 04/2021, 07/2021, 10/2021, 01/2022, 04/2022, 07/2022, 10/2022, 01/2023, and 04/2023. \\ \end{tabular}$

The July 2023 WQMP update addresses the following topics for water quality planning purposes:

- 1. Projected Effluent Limits Updates
- 2. Service Area Population for Municipal WWTFs
- 3. Designation of Management Agencies for Municipal WWTFs
- 4. TMDL Updates

The public comment period for the draft July WQMP update was from August 4, 2023 through September 5, 2023.

The "Projected Effluent Limit Update" section provides information compiled from May 1, 2023 through July 31, 2023, and is based on Texas water quality standards (WQS). Projected effluent limits may be used for water quality planning purposes in Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (TPDES) permit actions.

The "Service Area Population" and "Designation of Management Agencies" sections for municipal wastewater facilities were developed and evaluated by TCEQ in cooperation with the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) and regional water quality management planning agencies.

The "Total Maximum Daily Load Update" section provides information on proposed wasteload allocations (WLAs) for new dischargers and revisions to existing TMDLs and was developed by the TCEQ TMDL Program in the Water Quality Planning Division.

Projected Effluent Limit Updates

Table 1 reflects proposed effluent limits for new dischargers and preliminary revisions to original proposed effluent limits for preexisting dischargers. Abbreviations used in the table heading include:

- BOD₅-5-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand
- CBOD₅–5-Day Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand
- DO-Dissolved Oxygen
- lbs/day–Pounds per Day
- MGD-Million Gallons per Day
- mg/L–Milligrams per Liter
- NH₃-N−Ammonia-Nitrogen

Effluent flows indicated in Table 1 reflect future needs and do not reflect current permits for these facilities. These revisions may be useful for water quality management planning purposes. The effluent flows and constituent limits indicated in the table have been preliminarily determined to be appropriate to satisfy the stream standards for dissolved oxygen in their respective receiving waters. These flow volumes and effluent sets may be modified at the time of permit action. These limits are based on the Texas WQS effective at the time of the production of this update. The WQS are subject to revision on a triennial basis.

Table 1. Projected Effluent Limit Updates

| State Permit Number | Segment Number | EPA ID Number | Permittee Name and County | Flow (MGD) | CBOD ₅ (mg/L) | CBOD ₅ (lbs/day) | NH ₃ -N (mg/L) | NH ₃ -N (lbs/day) | BOD ₅ (mg/L) | BOD ₅ (lbs/day) | DO (mg/L) | Months/ Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 10005-001 | 2432 | TX0024554 | City of Alvin Brazoria | 7.5 | 7 | 437.85 | 2 | 125.10 | | | 4 | |
| 10364-001 | 0703 | TX0047589 | City of Port Arthur Jefferson | 9.2 | | | | | 20 | 1534.56 | 3 | Outfall 001 |
| | | | | 9.2 | 10 | 767.28 | 3 | 230.18 | | | 6 | Outfall 002 |
| 10412-002 | 0606 | TX0105066 | City of Lindale Smith | 1.5 | 10 | 125.10 | 2 | 25.02 | | | 6 | |
| 10616-002 | 1008 | TX0117595 | City of Tomball Harris | 4.5 | 10 | 375.30 | 3 | 112.59 | | | 4 | |
| 10802-001 | 2302 | TX0068764 | City of Rio Grande City Starr | 1.94 | | | | | 20 | 323.59 | 2 | |
| 10887-003 | 0507 | TX0144517 | City of Josephine Collin | 0.75 | 10 | 62.55 | 3 | 18.77 | | | 4 | |
| 11444-001 | 1009 | TX0046736 | Harris County Water Control and Improvement District No. 99 Harris | 0.2875 | 10 | 23.98 | 3 | 7.19 | | | 4 | |

| State Permit Number | Segment Number | EPA ID Number | Permittee Name and County | Flow (MGD) | CBOD ₅ (mg/L) | CBOD ₅ (lbs/day) | NH ₃ -N (mg/L) | NH ₃ -N (lbs/day) | BOD ₅ (mg/L) | BOD ₅ (lbs/day) | DO (mg/L) | Months/ Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 13866-001 | 1244 | TX0118265 | SWWC Utilities Inc. Williamson | 2.0 | 10 | 166.80 | 2 | 33.36 | | | 6 | |
| 14193-001 | 1009 | TX0122963 | Cedar Creek Forest MHC, LLC Harris | 0.045 | 10 | 3.75 | 3 | 1.13 | | | 4 | |
| 15498-001 | 2434 | TX0137243 | Blue Water Resort, Inc. Brazoria | 0.03 | 10 | 2.50 | 3 | 0.75 | | | 4 | |
| 15618-001 | 0229 | TX0138037 | Love's Travel Stops & Country Stores, Inc. Potter | 0.0225 | 10 | 1.88 | 2 | 0.38 | | | 5 | |
| 16157-001 | 0826 | TX0142867 | WC 640 STPO LLC Denton | 0.6 | 7 | 35.03 | 2 | 10.01 | | | 6 | Increased discharge flow |
| 16192-001 | 1903 | TX0143251 | Forest Glen Utility Company Medina | 0.23 | 5 | 9.59 | 1 | 1.92 | | | 6 | |
| 16257-001 | 1248 | TX0143804 | New Horizons Utility, LLC and OptiN Holdings 1 LLC Williamson | 1.34 | 5 | 55.88 | 2 | 22.35 | | | 6 | |
| 16274-001 | 0818 | TX0143952 | Moore Farm Water Control and Improvement District No. 1 Kaufman | 1.6 | 5 | 66.72 | 1.6 | 21.35 | | | 6 | |

| State Permit Number | Segment Number | EPA ID Number | Permittee Name and County | Flow (MGD) | CBOD ₅ (mg/L) | CBOD ₅ (lbs/day) | NH ₃ -N (mg/L) | NH ₃ -N (lbs/day) | BOD ₅ (mg/L) | BOD ₅ (lbs/day) | DO (mg/L) | Months/ Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 16277-001 | 2422 | TX0143987 | PTX Holdings, LLC Chambers | 0.245 | 10 | 20.43 | 3 | 6.13 | | | 5 | |
| 16296-001 | 2307 | TX0144134 | Lower Valley Water District El Paso | 0.9 | 5 | 37.53 | 1 | 7.51 | | | 6 | |
| 16308-001 | 1202 | TX0144240 | Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 256 Fort Bend | 0.495 | 10 | 41.28 | 3 | 12.38 | | | 4 | |
| 16309-001 | 1102 | TX0144258 | Cullen RV Resort LLC Brazoria | 0.012 | 5 | 0.50 | 2 | 0.20 | | | 4 | |
| 16310-001 | 1243 | TX0143863 | South Central Water Company Bell | 0.7 | 7 | 40.87 | 2 | 11.68 | | | 4 | |
| 16311-001 | 1256 | TX0144274 | CLDVM, LLC Hill | 0.02 | | | | | 20 | 3.34 | 2 | |
| 16312-001 | 0818 | TX0144282 | College Mound Special Utility District & Post Oak MHC LLC Kaufman | 0.25 | 10 | 20.85 | 3 | 6.26 | | | 4 | |

| State Permit Number | Segment Number | EPA ID Number | Permittee Name and County | Flow (MGD) | CBOD ₅ (mg/L) | CBOD ₅ (lbs/day) | NH ₃ -N (mg/L) | NH ₃ -N (lbs/day) | BOD ₅ (mg/L) | BOD ₅ (lbs/day) | DO (mg/L) | Months/ Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 16313-001 | 1110 | TX0144291 | Fort Bend County Municipal Utility District No. 183 Fort Bend | 0.45 | 10 | 37.53 | 2 | 7.51 | | | 4 | |
| 16316-001 | 0507 | TX0144312 | Clear Utilities LLC Hunt | 0.1875 | 10 | 15.64 | 2 | 3.13 | | | 4 | |
| 16318-001 | 1010 | TX0144321 | Undine Environment al Texas LLC Montgomery | 0.24 | 10 | 20.02 | 2 | 4.00 | | | 4 | |
| 16319-001 | 1002 | TX0144339 | Liberty County Utilities, LLC Liberty | 0.975 | 7 | 56.92 | 2 | 16.26 | | | 4 | |
| 16322-001 | 0701 | TX0144401 | T & W Water Service Company Jefferson | 0.12 | 10 | 10.01 | 2 | 2.00 | | | 6 | |
| 16323-001 | 0831 | TX0144363 | City of Hudson Oaks Parker | 1.19 | 5 | 49.62 | 2 | 19.85 | | | 6 | |
| 16324-001 | 1008 | TX0144371 | Magnolia M3 Ranch, LP Montgomery | 1.65 | 10 | 137.61 | 3 | 41.28 | | | 5 | |
| 16327-001 | 0803 | TX0144380 | 19 Wood Farm QOZB LP Walker | 0.05 | 10 | 4.17 | 3 | 1.25 | | | 4 | |
| 16328-001 | 1434 | TX0144398 | Corix Utilities Texas Inc. Bastrop | 0.63 | 5 | 26.27 | 2 | 10.51 | | | 4 | |

| State Permit Number | Segment Number | EPA ID Number | Permittee Name and County | Flow (MGD) | CBOD ₅ (mg/L) | CBOD ₅ (lbs/day) | NH ₃ -N (mg/L) | NH ₃ -N (lbs/day) | BOD ₅ (mg/L) | BOD ₅ (lbs/day) | DO (mg/L) | Months/ Comments |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 16331-001 | 1001 | TX0144428 | Lennar Homes of Texas Land and Construction Ltd. Harris | 0.39 | 10 | 32.53 | 3 | 9.76 | | | 4 | |
| 16336-001 | 0805 | TX0144452 | Jireten, LLC Ellis | 0.162 | 5 | 6.76 | 1.6 | 2.16 | | | 6 | |
| 16339-001 | 1014 | TX0144487 | Freeland Houston Asli X LLC Waller | 0.84 | 10 | 70.06 | 2 | 14.01 | | | 6 | |
| 16350-001 | 1808 | TX0144584 | Aqua Texas, INC Hays | 0.9 | 5 | 37.53 | 2 | 15.01 | | | 4 | |

Planning Information Summary

The Water Quality Planning Division of TCEQ coordinated with TWDB and regional planning agencies to compile the wastewater facility information in this section. Domestic facility financing decisions under the State Revolving Fund (SRF) loan program must be consistent with the certified and approved WQMP.

The purpose of this section is to present data reflecting facility-planning needs, including previous water quality management plan needs requiring revision. Data are also presented to update other plan information for TWDB's SRF projects. Table 2 contains the updated service area population information. The table is organized in alphabetical order and includes the following 10 categories of information:

- <u>Planning Area</u> Area for which facility needs are proposed. The facility planning areas are subject to change during the facility planning process and any such changes will be documented in a later water quality management plan update. All planning areas listed are also designated management agencies (DMAs) unless otherwise noted in the "Comments" column.
- 2. <u>Service Area</u> Area that receives the provided wastewater service.
- 3. <u>Needs</u> A "T" indicates a need for either initial construction of a WWTF, additional treatment capacity, or the upgrading of a WWTF to meet existing or more stringent effluent requirements. A "C" indicates a need for improvements to, expansion of, rehabilitation of, or the initial construction of a wastewater collection system in the facility planning area. "T/C" indicates a need for both treatment and collection system facilities. More detailed facility planning conducted during a construction project may define additional needs and those needs will be reflected in a future update to the WQMP. A "F" indicates a need for flood mitigation.
- 4. <u>Needs Year</u> The year in which the needs were identified for the planning area.
- 5. <u>Basin Name</u> The river basin or designated planning entity for a designated planning area. The seven water quality management planning areas designated by the Governor are each administered by a Council of Governments (COG), a Development Council (DC), or a Planning Council (PC). Basin names are shown for areas outside one of these planning areas. The designated planning areas and their associated administering entities are:
 - a. Corpus Christi Coastal Bend COG (CBCOG)
 - b. Killeen-Temple Central Texas COG (CTCOG)
 - c. Texarkana Ark-Tex COG (ATCOG)
 - d. Southeast Texas South East Texas Regional Planning Council (SETRPC)
 - e. Lower Rio Grande Valley Lower Rio Grande Valley Development Council (LRGVDC)
 - f. Dallas-Fort Worth North Central Texas COG (NCTCOG)

- g. Houston Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC)
- 6. <u>Segment</u> The classified stream segment or tributary into which any recommended facility may discharge existing or projected wastewater. In the case of no-discharge facilities, this is the classified stream segment drainage area in which the facilities are located.
- 7. <u>County</u> The county in which the facility planning area is located.
- 8. <u>Date</u> The date the planning information was reviewed by TCEQ.
- 9. <u>Comments</u> Additional explanation or other information concerning the facility planning area.
- 10. <u>Population</u> The base year and projected populations for each facility planning area. Population projections presented are consistent with the latest available statewide population projections or represent the most current information obtained from facility planning analyses.

The facility information in this section is intended to be used in the preparation of facility plans and the subsequent design and construction of wastewater facilities. Design capacities of the treatment and collection systems will be based upon the population projections contained in this document, plus any additional needed capacity established for commercial/industrial flows and documented infiltration/inflow volumes (treatment or rehabilitation).

The probable needs shown under the "Needs" heading are preliminary findings; specific needs for an area must be as established in the completed and certified, detailed engineering studies conducted during facility planning under the SRF and other state loan programs.

Specific recommended effluent quality for any wastewater discharges resulting from any of the facilities in this document will be in accordance with the rule in the Texas WQS in effect at the time the permit is issued for a specific facility.

Table 2. Service Area Population Updates

| Planning Agency | Service Area | Needs | Needs Year | Basin Name / COG | Segment | County | WQMP Date | Comments | Year | Population |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------|---------------|---------------------|---------|------------|--------------|----------|------|------------|
| City of Magnolia | Project service area | T | 2030 | San Jacinto | 1008 | Montgomery | 5/9/2023 | | 2022 | 2200 |
| | | | | H-GAC | | | | | 2030 | 22000 |
| | | | | | | | | | 2040 | 42500 |
| | | | | | | | | | 2050 | 60000 |
| Northgate Crossing Mud No. 2 | Project service area | T/C | 2023 | San Jacinto | 1008 | Harris | 6/27/2023 | | 2023 | 8826 |
| | | | | H-GAC | | | | | 2030 | 8,826 |
| | | | | | | | | | 2040 | 10,160 |
| | | | | | | | | | 2050 | 10,160 |

Designated Management Agencies

To be designated as a management agency for wastewater collection or treatment, an entity must demonstrate the legal, institutional, managerial and financial capability necessary to carry out the entity's responsibilities in accordance with Section 208(c) of the Clean Water Act (see below list of requirements). Before an entity can apply for an SRF loan, it must be recommended for designation as the management agency in the approved WQMP.

Designation as a management agency does not require the designated entity to provide wastewater services, but enables it to apply for grants and loans to provide those services. The facilities listed in Table 3 have submitted DMA resolutions to TCEQ. TCEQ submits this DMA information to EPA for approval as an update to the WQMP.

Section Requirements for Management Agency

208(c)(2)(A): to carry out portions of an area-wide waste treatment plan.

208(c)(2)(B): to manage waste treatment works.

208(c)(2)(C): directly or by contract to design and construct new works.

208(c)(2)(D): to accept and utilize grants.

208(c)(2)(E): to raise revenues, including assessment of waste treatment charges.

208(c)(2)(F): to incur short and long term indebtedness.

208(c)(2)(G): to assure community pays proportionate cost.

208(c)(2)(H): to refuse to receive waste from non-compliant dischargers.

208(c)(2)(I): to accept for treatment industrial wastes.

Table 3. Designated Management Agencies

| Planning Agency | Service Area | DMA Needs | DMA Date |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|
| City of Magnolia | Project service area | Т | 12/19/2022 |
| Northgate Crossing Mud No. 2 | Project service area | T/C | 1/26/2023 |

Total Maximum Daily Load Revisions

The TMDL Program works to improve water quality in impaired or threatened waters bodies in Texas. The program is authorized by and created to fulfill the requirements of Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act.

The goal of a TMDL is to restore the full use of a water body that has limited quality in relation to one or more of its uses. The TMDL defines an environmental target, and based on that target, TCEQ and stakeholders develop an implementation plan with wasteload allocations for point source dischargers to mitigate human-caused sources of pollution within the watershed and restore full use of the water body.

TMDLs are developed based on intensive data collection and scientific analysis. After adoption by TCEQ, TMDLs are submitted to EPA for review and approval.

The attached appendixes may reflect proposed wasteload allocations for new dischargers and/or additions or revisions to TMDLs. Updates and addendums will be provided in the same units of measure used in the original TMDL document and will include the segment and assessment unit (AU) numbers of the affected segments. Also, note that for bacteria TMDLs, loads will typically be expressed as colony-forming units per day (cfu/day). On occasion, other expressions may be used due to different laboratory methods, such as counts or most probable number per day. For the purposes of the TMDL program, these terms are considered to be synonymous.

Appendix I. Updates to Eighteen TMDLs for Bacteria in Buffalo and Whiteoak Bayous and Tributaries

Segments 1013, 1013A, 1013C, 1014, 1014A, 1014B, 1014E, 1014H, 1014K, 1014L, 1014M, 1014N, 1014O, 1017, 1017A, 1017B, 1017D, and 1017E

This appendix provides updates to TMDLs previously submitted through the state's WQMP for: Buffalo and Whiteoak Bayous and Tributaries.

The report Eighteen Total Maximum Daily Loads for Bacteria in Buffalo and Whiteoak Bayous and Tributaries For Segment Numbers 1013, 1013A, 1013C, 1014, 1014A, 1014B, 1014E, 1014H, 1014K, 1014L, 1014M, 1014N, 1014O, 1017, 1017A, 1017B, 1017D, and 1017E was adopted by TCEQ on 04/08/09 and approved by EPA on 06/11/09. Upon EPA approval, the TMDLs became part of the state's WQMP.

The Texas WQMP has since been updated 35 times prior to this update for this TMDL. The previous updates have revised the list of individual WLAs in the original TMDL document. Additionally, TCEQ submitted addenda to the original TMDL in the April 2013, April 2015, and January 2021 WQMP updates. These addenda added three new AUs to the original TMDL project.

The purpose of this update is to make the following changes to the TMDL:

Add two new permits (presented in Table I-1)

The changes reflected in this update resulted in the shifting of allocations between the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for future growth (FG) in one AU. This was originally presented in Table 53 in the original TMDL document. The affected AU in this update is included here as Table I-2.

For AU 1014B_01, the existing FG allocations were insufficient to cover the increased flow to the AU for this update. To account for this, the total amount exceeded beyond the original FG allocation was added to the total TMDL allocation. This resulted in a change to the overall TMDL allocation for the one AU, which has been updated in Tables I-2 and I-3.

Table I-1 - Change to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 45, p. 99-103 in the original TMDL document.

The WLA is expressed in billion MPN/day E. coli.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | WLA | TMDL Comments |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------------|
| 16339-001 | 001 | TX0144487 | 1014B_01 | FREELAND HOUSTON ASLI X LLC | 0.84 | 2.003 | New permit |
| 16262-001 | 001 | TX0143863 | 1014B_01 | QUADVEST, LP | 0.6 | 1.431 | New permit |

Table I-2 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 53, p. 116-117 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | Segment Name | TMDL | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA | MOS | Upstream Load | FG |
|----------|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----|------------------|------|
| 1014B_01 | Buffalo Bayou | 645.57 | 109.53 | 482.44 | 53.60 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |

Table I-3 - TMDL final calculations

Updates Table 54, p. 118-119 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | TMDL | WLA WWTF | WLA sw | LA TOTAL | MOS |
|----------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|-----|
| 1014B_01 | 645.57 | 109.53 | 482.44 | 53.60 | 0 |

Appendix II. Updates to Nine TMDLs for Bacteria in Clear Creek and Tributaries

Segments 1101, 1101B, 1101D, 1102, 1102A, 1102B, 1102C, 1102D, and 1102E

This appendix provides updates to TMDLs previously submitted through the state's WQMP for: Clear Creek and Tributaries.

The report *Nine Total Maximum Daily Loads for Bacteria in Clear Creek and Tributaries: Segments 1101, 1101B, 1101D, 1102, 1102A, 1102B, 1102C, 1102D, and 1102E* was adopted by TCEQ on 09/10/08 and approved by EPA on 03/06/09. Upon EPA approval, the TMDLs became part of the state's WQMP.

The Texas WQMP has since been updated 10 times prior to this update for this TMDL. The previous updates have revised the list of individual WLAs in the original TMDL document. TCEQ submitted two addenda to the original TMDL in the October 2012 and October 2018 WQMP updates. These addenda added five new AUs to the original TMDL project.

The purpose of this update is to make the following change to the TMDL:

Add one new permit (presented in Table II-1)

The changes reflected in this update resulted in the shifting of allocations between the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for FG in one AU. This was originally presented in Tables 18 and 21 in the original TMDL document. The affected AU in this update is included here as Tables II-2 and II-3.

For AU 1102A_01, the existing FG allocation was insufficient to cover the increased flow to the AU for this update. To account for this, the total amount exceeded beyond the original FG allocation was added to the total TMDL allocation. This resulted in a change to the overall TMDL allocation for the AU, which has been updated in Tables II-2 and II-3.

Table II-1 - Changes to individual WLAs within the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 16, pp. 47 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as MPN/day.

| State Permit Number / EPA Permit Number | Outfall | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | WLA – Fecal Coliform MPN/day | WLA – E. coli MPN/day | WLA – Enterococci MPN/day | TMDL Comments |
|--|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 16309-001/ TX0144258 | 001 | 1102A_01 | CULLEN RV RESORT LLC | 0.012 | 9.08E+07 | 5.72E+07 | NA | New permit |

Table II-2 - E. coli and Fecal Coliform TMDL Calculations for Freshwater Segments

Updates Table 18, p. 50 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as MPN/day E. coli.

| Segm ent | Sampling Location | Stream Name | Indicator Bacteria | TMDL | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA | MOS | FG |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----|
| 1102A | 16477 | Cowart Creek | E. coli | 4.91E+10 | 1.03E+09 | 2.28E+10 | 2.28E+10 | 2.43E+09 | 0 |

Table II-3 - TMDL Allocation Table

Updates Table 21, p. 53 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as MPN/day E. coli.

| Segm ent | Stream Name | AU | Indicator Bacteria | TMDL | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA | MOS | FG |
|-------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----|
| 1102A | Cowart Creek | 1102A_02 | E. coli | 4.91E+10 | 1.03E+09 | 2.28E+10 | 2.28E+10 | 2.43E+09 | 0 |

Appendix III. Updates to Fifteen TMDLs for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston

Segments 1004E, 1008, 1008H, 1009, 1009C, 1009D, 1009E, 1010, and 1011

This appendix provides updates to TMDLs previously submitted through the state's WQMP for: Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston.

The report Fifteen Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston for Segment Numbers 1004E, 1008, 1008H, 1009, 1009C, 1009D, 1009E, 1010, and 1011 was adopted by TCEQ on 04/06/11 and approved by EPA on 06/29/11. Upon EPA approval, the TMDLs became part of the state's WQMP.

The Texas WQMP has since been updated 42 times prior to this update for this TMDL. The previous updates have revised the list of individual WLAs in the original TMDL document. Additionally, TCEQ submitted four addenda to the original TMDL in the October 2013, October 2019, October 2020, and April 2022 WQMP updates. These addenda added 10 new AUs to the original TMDL project.

The purpose of this update is to make the following changes to the TMDL (presented in Table III-1):

- Add three new permits
- Increase the discharge to three existing permits
- Remove one cancelled permit and one expired permit

The changes reflected in this update resulted in the shifting of allocations between the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for FG in 10 AUs. This was originally presented in Table 18 in the original TMDL document. The 10 affected AUs in this update are included here as Table III-2.

For AUs 1009_02 and 1010_02, the existing FG allocations were insufficient to cover the increased flow to the AUs for this update. To account for this, the total amount exceeded beyond the original FG allocation was added to the total TMDL allocation. In addition, for AU 1008_02, there was a decrease in flow related to the removal of a cancelled permit (14901-001/TX0086053) in a stream with no remaining FG, however the removed flow will not be allocated back into FG as the original FG has been allocated for in previous WQMP updates. These changes in flow resulted in a change to the overall TMDL allocation for all three AUs, which have been updated in Tables III-2 and III-3. The overall numbers for the other AUs did not change and did not result in a change to the overall TMDL allocations.

Table III-1 - Changes to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 16, p. 49-56 in the original TMDL document.

The WLA is expressed in billion MPN/day E. coli.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | WLA | TMDL Comments |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------|------------------------|
| 14901-001 | 001 | TX0086053 | 1008_02 | TERRA VERDE UTILITY CO | N/A | N/A | Cancelled permit |
| 16324-001 | 001 | TX0144371 | 1008_03 | MAGNOLIA M3 RANCH, LP | 1.65 | 3.935 | New permit |
| 10616-002 | 001 | TX0117595 | 1008H_01 | CITY OF TOMBALL | 4.5 | 10.732 | Increased discharge |
| 14193-001 | 001 | TX0122963 | 1009_03 | KENNARD TOM FOLEY | 0.045 | 0.107 | Increased discharge |
| 11444-001 | 001 | TX0046736 | 1009_04 | HARRIS COUNTY WCID #99 | 0.2875 | 0.686 | Increased discharge |
| 12600-001 | 001 | TX0091171 | 1009C_01 | ELITE COMPUTER CONSULTANTS, LP | N/A | N/A | Expired permit |
| 16318-001 | 001 | TX0144321 | 1010_02 | UNDINE ENVIRONMENTAL TEXAS LLC | 0.24 | 0.572 | New permit |
| 16304-001 | 001 | TX0144215 | 1011_02 | 105 UTILITY LLC | 0.3 | 0.715 | New permit |

Table III-2 - TMDL summary calculations for 10 AUs in the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 18, p. 61 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | Sampling Location | Segment Name | TMDL | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA | MOS | FG |
|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-------|------|--------|
| 1008_02 | 11314 | Spring Creek | 298.55 | 17.75 | 71.9 | 194.5 | 14.4 | 0.00 |
| 1008_03 | 11313 | Spring Creek | 1420 | 139.91 | 322 | 869 | 70.9 | 18.19 |
| 1008_04 | 11312 | Spring Creek | 1510 | 175.58 | 334 | 902 | 75.7 | 22.72 |
| 1008H_01 | 11185 | Willow Creek | 166 | 25.96 | 51.1 | 67.8 | 8.28 | 12.86 |
| 1009_02 | 11331 | Cypress Creek | 615.07 | 118.27 | 196 | 270 | 30.8 | 0.00 |
| 1009_03 | 11328 | Cypress Creek | 1340 | 202.04 | 415 | 574 | 67.0 | 81.96 |
| 1009_04 | 11324 | Cypress Creek | 1550 | 241.37 | 469 | 648 | 77.4 | 114.23 |
| 1009C_01 | 17496 | Faulkey Gully | 35.3 | 16.79 | 9.44 | 2.98 | 1.76 | 4.33 |
| 1010_02 | 14241 | Caney Creek | 248.19 | 5.09 | 30 | 200.8 | 12.3 | 0.00 |
| 1011_02 | 17746 | Peach Creek | 422 | 17.05 | 34.5 | 348.5 | 21.1 | 0.85 |

Table III-3 - TMDL final calculations

Updates Table 19, p. 62 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | TMDL | WLA wwtf | WLA sw | LA TOTAL | MOS |
|---------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|------|
| 1008_02 | 298.55 | 17.75 | 71.9 | 194.5 | 14.4 |
| 1009_02 | 615.07 | 118.27 | 196 | 270 | 30.8 |
| 1010_02 | 248.19 | 5.09 | 30 | 200.8 | 12.3 |

In addition, Table III-4 below provides an update to Table 11 found in the October 2013 addendum to this TMDL project (*Addendum One to Fifteen Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston: Six Additional Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston for Segments 1008B, 1008C, 1008E, and 1011*). One of the permits discussed earlier in this update also affects one AU in this addendum.

Table III-5 below provides updates to Table 12 found in the October 2013 addendum to this TMDL project. The addendum added six AUs that were not included in the original TMDL. The AU affected here (1011_01) was included as an upstream loading to 1011_02 in the original TMDL. One of the permits (16304-001/TX0144215) affects the loading of 1011_01 as well as the original TMDL AU 1011_02.

For AU 1011_01, the existing FG allocations were insufficient to cover the increased flow to the AU for this update. To account for this, the total amount exceeded beyond the original FG allocation was added to the total TMDL allocation. This resulted in a change to the overall TMDL allocation for the one AU, which has been updated in Tables III-5 and III-6.

Table III-4 - Changes to individual WLAs in the Peach Creek watershed

Updates Table 11, p. 23 in the TMDL addendum document.

The WLA is expressed in billion MPN/day E. coli.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | WLA | TMDL Comments |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-------|------------------|
| 16304-001 | 001 | TX0144215 | 1011_01 | 105 UTILITY LLC | 0.3 | 0.715 | New permit |

Table III-5 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the Peach Creek watershed

Updates Table 12, p. 26 in the TMDL addendum document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | Stream Name | TMDL | MOS | WLA wwtf | WLA sw | LA AU | LA RES | LA TOTAL | FG |
|---------|-------------|--------|------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|------|
| 1011_01 | Peach Creek | 224.70 | 10.7 | 12.85 | 3.05 | 198.1 | 0 | 198.1 | 0.00 |

Table III-6 - TMDL addendum final calculations

Updates Table 13, p. 27 in the TMDL addendum document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day E. coli.

| AU | TMDL | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA _{TOTAL} | MOS |
|---------|--------|----------|--------|---------------------|------|
| 1011_01 | 224.70 | 12.85 | 3.05 | 198.1 | 10.7 |

Finally, Table III-7 below provides an update to Table VII-8 found in the April 2022 addendum to this TMDL project (*Addendum Four to Fifteen Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in Watersheds Upstream of Lake Houston: One Total Maximum Daily Load for Indicator Bacteria in Caney Creek For AU 1010_03*). One of the permits discussed earlier in this update also affects one AU in this addendum.

Table III-8 below provides updates to Table VII-9 found in the April 2022 addendum to this TMDL project. The addendum added one AU that was not included in the original TMDL. The AU affected here (1010_03) was included as an upstream loading to 1010_02 in the original TMDL. One of the permits (16318-001/TX0144321) affects the loading of 1010_03 as well as the original TMDL AU 1010_02.

In Table VII-10 of the April 2022 TMDL addendum, the WLAs for permitted facilities are the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for FG within the single affected AU. Therefore, these overall numbers did not change, and Table VII-10 of the TMDL addendum remains the same.

Table III-7 - Changes to individual WLAs in the Caney Creek watershed

Updates Table VII-8, p. 20-21 in the TMDL addendum document.

The WLA is expressed in billion cfu/day E. coli.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | WLA | TMDL Comments |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------|------------------|
| 16318-001 | 001 | TX0144321 | 1010_03 | UNDINE ENVIRONMENTAL TEXAS LLC | 0.24 | 0.572 | New permit |

Table III-8 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the Caney Creek watershed

Updates Table VII-9, p. 22 in the TMDL addendum document.

All loads expressed as billion cfu/day E. coli.

| Water Body | AU | TMDL | MOS | WLA wwif | WLA sw | LA | FG |
|---------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|
| Caney Creek | 1010_03 | 237.441 | 11.872 | 8.42 | 12.977 | 188.219 | 15.95 |

Appendix IV. Updates to Two TMDLs for Indicator Bacteria in the Tidal Segments of the Mission and Aransas Rivers

Segments 2001 and 2003

This appendix provides updates to TMDLs previously submitted through the state's WQMP for: Mission and Aransas Rivers.

The report *Two Total Maximum Daily Loads for Indicator Bacteria in the Tidal Segments of the Mission and Aransas Rivers for Segments 2001 and 2003* was adopted by TCEQ on 05/25/16 and approved by EPA on 08/09/16. Upon EPA approval, the TMDLs became part of the state's WQMP.

The Texas WQMP has since been updated three times prior to this update for this TMDL. The previous updates have revised the list of individual WLAs in the original TMDL document. Additionally, TCEQ submitted an addendum to the original TMDL in the October 2017 WQMP update. This addendum added two new AUs to the original TMDL project.

The purpose of this update is to make the following change to the TMDL:

Add one new permit (presented in Table IV-1)

The changes reflected in this update resulted in the shifting of allocations between the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for FG in one AU. This was originally presented in Table 20 in the original TMDL document. The affected AU in this update is included here as Table IV-2.

In Table 21 of the original TMDL, the WLAs for permitted facilities are the sum of the individual WLAs and the allowance for FG within each AU. These overall numbers did not change; Table 21 of the original TMDL remains the same.

Table IV-1 - Changes to individual WLAs for the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 14, p. 35 in the original TMDL document.

The WLA is expressed in billion MPN/day Enterococci and E. coli.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | AU | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | Enterococci WLA | E. coli WLA | TMDL Comments |
|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 16280-001 | 001 | TX0144002 | 2003_01 | MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS LLC | 0.3 | 0.378 | 1.359 | New permit |

Table IV-2 - TMDL summary calculations for one AU in the TMDL watershed

Updates Table 20, p. 42 in the original TMDL document.

All loads expressed as billion MPN/day Enterococci.

| AU | Segment Name | TMDL | MOS | WLA wwtf | WLA sw | LA | FG |
|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|
| 2003_01 | Aransas River Tidal | 150.321 | 7.516 | 9.744 | 0.05 | 132.197 | 0.814 |

Appendix V. Update to Three TMDLs for Chloride, Sulfate, and Total Dissolved Solids in Petronila Creek Above Tidal

Segment 2204

This appendix provides an update to TMDLs previously submitted through the state's WQMP for: Petronila Creek Above Tidal.

The report *Three Total Maximum Daily Loads for Chloride, Sulfate, and Total Dissolved Solids in Petronila Creek Above Tidal for Segment Number 2204* was adopted by TCEQ on 01/10/07 and approved by EPA on 03/14/07. Upon EPA approval, the TMDL became part of the state's WQMP.

The Texas WQMP has since been updated five times prior to this update for this TMDL. The previous updates have revised the list of individual WLAs in the original TMDL document.

The purpose of this update is to make the following change to the TMDL:

Add one new permit (presented in Table V-1)

Th addition of this new facility changes the overall TMDL equations for chloride, sulfate, and total dissolved solids (TDS), given in Tables 11, 12, and 13, respectively, in the original TMDL document. Please note that the total wasteload allocations (WLAs) for sulfate and TDS were presented erroneously in the original TMDL document (lbs/day were given instead of lbs/year). The total TMDL equations have been updated in Table V-2.

 ${\bf Table\,V\text{-}1\text{-}Changes\ to\ individual\ WLAs\ for\ the\ Petronila\ Creek\ Above\ Tidal\ watershed}$

Updates Table 7, p. 28 in the original $\,$ TMDL document.

The WLAs are expressed in lb/day.

| State Permit Number | Outfall | EPA Permit Number | Permittee Name | Flow (MGD) | Permit Implementation | WLA | TMDL Comments |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| | | | | | Chloride Limit | 3,050 | |
| 05430-000 | 001 | TX0144541 | TESLA, INC. | 0.2565 | Sulfate Limit | 1,017 | New permit |
| | | | | | Total Dissolved Solids Limit | 8,134 | |

Table V-2 – TMDL final calculations

Updates Tables 11-13, p. 32-33 in the original TMDL document.

All loads are expressed in lb/year.

| | TMDL | WLA | LA | MOS |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Chloride | 4.61E+07 | 4.27E+06 | 3.96E+07 | 2.31E+06 |
| Sulfate | 2.17E+07 | 1.42E+06 | 1.85E+07 | 1.09E+06 |
| TDS | 9.87E+07 | 1.14E+07 | 8.42E+07 | 4.94E+06 |